G I B—1,000- 20 12 1,

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1887-1888

~~191~~ .

(Muzrai)

File No.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month July 1887

SUBJECT.

Circular standing the orders contained in proceedings No 6999-7000-381 dated 16th February 1883 relating to Marecourdais claim to a Vritty in the pagoda Village of Hannakagere, said to have belonged to Sri Nanjayanadaswamy Temple in the Village

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Judy. J. No 77 of 1903-04

(General Serial No. 31.)

1886.

From

To The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur Distt

Dated

Despatched 9th November 1886.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 545
No.

No 2398

Petition dated 23 September 1886 from Bangalore Mari Gowda residing in the Jodi village of Handalgere in the Kunigal Taluk

Forwarded to the Dy Commissioner of Tumkur for brief report with previous papers. There has apparently been some confusion. The main tenure of the lands in question being cancelled Petr complains of the

demand of full assessment
for previous years.

B. O.

Chf Insp

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

To The Dy: Com^r: Bangalore

Dated 2/11/87

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 7111

No. 399

In forwarding herewith for reference the Surgeon Officer's Order No: 813 of 20th Decr: 1883 to the Assistant Surgeon of Kurgod, has the honor to request that Docket No: 176 of 14th idem from the Supt: of Excise, and therein mentioned, all papers connected therewith, may be forwarded as early as possible. The Dy Com^r will report whether the Excise question was settled as desired by Government Order

& whether any Jodr^s interest

Decr 1884

(General Serial No 30.)

188

From The Dy Commissioner
~~The Chief Secretary to the Govt~~
~~of Mysore.~~

To Tumkur Dy

Dated 20 - January / 1887

Dispatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 471.
No.

To acknowledge

No. 471

Tumkur District
Dy. Commrs. Office
Tumkur, 20th January 1887.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your docket No. 5459 of 9th November last, forwarding for report a petition from one Bangalore Mari gowda residing in the Jodi village of Handalgéré in the Kunigal Taluk regarding certain Vrittees therein as purchased by him in sale for Govt. arrears and to submit the papers of the case. —

× Encl: 2 sures returned.

2. — The Village of Handalgéré is an Inam one assigned for "Dharmmasa" Service in the Temples of Gopaul Krishna Swami and Karriam Swami in the Village of Honnalgéré in the Nandya Taluk and is divided into 7 1/8 Vrittees, two of which had been held by the archaks of the Temples. The Jodi amount for Dhatu, Iswara,

Hasseenuddin, the Sunpoi Officer ordered its resumption and the levy of full assessment from the purchaser. —

4. — In August 1883 the Amildar took a deposition from the petitioner agreeing to pay full assessment for the Writtee lands in question from Swabhanu (1883–4) and asked the Deputy Commissioner to have the Survey and Settlement introduced thereon. In January 1884, the lands were surveyed and classified by the Survey department and the Settlement was made in the following year by Mr. Abdul Rahamon Saheb when he registered all the lands in the name of the Petitioner. — In the Course of Survey operations the Ryots who have been enjoying the lands objected to their being registered in the name of the petitioner, but Mr. Shanmugavelu Pillé declined to interfere with their rights (Order No. 789 of 25th February 1885). — Thus the petitioner is only the nominal Pattadar without lands which the holders claim to be registered in their name in pursuance of the order of the Diwan already re-

× Huk Hum No. 817 of 20th March 188

5.— As regards the complaint of the petitioner that he has been asked to pay full assessment from 1881 when the Writtees were sold, I beg to invite attention to Dewan Abdul Rahimond sahebs order wherein he seems to have given retrospective effect to the dewans order of Febry. 1883 alluded to above.—

6.— The petitioner who appeared before me prays that the Shetmi tenants who hold dry lands on fixed money assessment under agreement given by the former Inamdar should be made to pay him the assessment now imposed thereon by the Survey department and that for other dry and wet lands held on Bataji Varam or share in the produce be given him. This request seems to be reasonable considering that the Petitioner has not only paid up the purchase money, and the Kandayam for a number of years to Government but has had actually nothing but this dispute to keep up which he has had to neglect his own affairs.— It struck me that we might refund the whole money and pay

Compensation to Hari gowda Collecting the same from the persons in actual possession of the land, but this co relief seems to me to be very inadequate for all the trouble and loss to which the Petitioner has been put during the last Seven years. — Under all the cir: cumstances of the case the proper relief seems to be to comply with the co reasonable wish of the Petitioner as stated above. —

I have &c

/Signed/ P. S. Krishna Murti

Dy Commissioner.

/Copy/

Rkd

D
P. 93

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887.

From Rev.

To The Deputy Comr
~~Bangalore Dist~~
Tumkur

Dated 7th
Despatched 5-8-
Received
} July 1887

Enclosures No. Vernacular record,

General No. 1888-9
No. 41

Countermanding the orders contained in this Office Pro: No. 6999-7000 8/16 dated 381 1883 relating to Channigoudas Claim to a unity in the Godi village of Kandalgere of the Huniyal Taluk said to have belonged to Kaveri Ranganaya temple in the village —

MYSORE GOVERNMENT PRESS
8 374 JUL. 87

Revenue Office
80 Copies

Rec'd 76 copies 27-7-87 Recd 8/7/87

V. L to be returned

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 7th July 1887.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER:—

Letter No. 471 of the 20th January 1887, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the petition of Bangalore Marigauda, residing in the Jodi village of Handalgere, Kunigal Taluk.

No. 1888-9—41, DATED BANGALORE, 17th JULY 1887.

ORDER THEREON.—The inam lands in question which were purchased by petitioner Marigauda at a revenue sale held on the 18th October 1880, constituted two vrittis of the Jodi village of Handalgere. This village is personal and not a "Devadaya" inam, and it has been duly enfranchised. The vrittis in question appear to have been assigned by the Jodidars for the maintenance of *Dhanurmasam* service at the Narayanaswami temple at Honalgere, but such an assignment by an inamdar has not the effect of converting a personal into a "*Devadaya*" inam. Under such circumstances the rules relating to *Devadaya* inams cannot apply to the vrittis in question which must be treated as a personal inam in the same way as the whole village of which they form a part. They must accordingly be held to belong to the purchaser at auction, and the inam tenure on which they were held was not liable to cancelment, as in the case of the alienation of an inam granted by the Government for charitable purposes. The orders contained in Proceedings No. 6999-7000—381, dated 16th February 1883 were passed on incorrect information as to the nature of the inam in question and they are accordingly hereby withdrawn. The vrittis in question must be treated as part of the inam village of Handalgere and in accordance with the Inam settlement, the full quit-rent on the village should be levied, the purchaser Marigauda being regarded as one of the vrittidars. If, as petitioner alleges, the full assessment of the lands has been collected from him from the year 1881—82, the difference between such collection and the quit-rent payable according to the Inam settlement should be refunded to him.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Inam Superintendent.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 7th July 1887.

No. 1888—9.

Countermanding the orders contained in this Office Proceeding No. 6999-7000—381, dated 16th February 1883 relating to Manigandā's claim to a vritty in the Jodi village of Handalgere of the Kunigal Taluk said to have belonged to Narayanaswami's temple in the village.

Mysore Govt. Press, Bangalore.—26-7-87.—80.

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 7th July 1887.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER:—

Letter No. 471 of the 20th January 1887, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the petition of Bangalore Marigauda, residing in the Jodi village of Handalgere, Kunigal Taluk.

No. 1888-9—41, DATED BANGALORE, 17th JULY 1887.

ORDER THEREON.—The inam lands in question which were purchased by petitioner Marigauda at a revenue sale held on the 18th October 1880, constituted two vrittis of the Jodi village of Handalgere. This village is personal and not a "Devadaya" inam, and it has been duly enfranchised. The vrittis in question appear to have been assigned by the Jodidars for the maintenance of *Dhanurmasam* service at the Narayanaswami temple at Honalgere, but such an assignment by an inamdar has not the effect of converting a personal into a "*Devadaya*" inam. Under such circumstances the rules relating to *Devadaya* inams cannot apply to the vrittis in question which must be treated as a personal inam in the same way as the whole village of which they form a part. They must accordingly be held to belong to the purchaser at auction, and the inam tenure on which they were held was not liable to cancelment, as in the case of the alienation of an inam granted by the Government for charitable purposes. The orders contained in Proceedings No. 6999-7000—381, dated 16th February 1883 were passed on incorrect information as to the nature of the inam in question and they are accordingly hereby withdrawn. The vrittis in question must be treated as part of the inam village of Handalgere and in accordance with the Inam settlement, the full quit-rent on the village should be levied, the purchaser Marigauda being regarded as one of the vrittidars. If, as petitioner alleges, the full assessment of the lands has been collected from him from the year 1881—82, the difference between such collection and the quit-rent payable according to the Inam settlement should be refunded to him.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Inam Superintendent.

1887.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 7th July 1887.

No. 1888—9.
41.

Countermanding the orders contained in this Office Proceeding No. 6999-7000—381, dated 16th February 1883 relating to Marigauda's claim to a vritty in the Jedi village of Handalgere of the Kunigal Taluk said to have belonged to Narayanaswami's temple in the village.

Mysore Govt. Press, Bangalore.—26-7-87.—80.

(General Serial No. 30)

188

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Sunkur District

Dated 8th August 1888

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 267.
No.

The accompanying Petition no 1105 dated 3rd August 1888 from Bangalore Ismail Sowda, post Handelgiri, Shirigal Taluk, is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Sunkur District, for disposal.

By order

Draft

Chief Secretary

No 471



Tumkur District Deputy Commissioner's office

Tumkur 20th January 1887

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

Enclosures returned

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Your docket No 545 dated 9th November last forwarding for report a petition from one B Marigowda residing in the Jodi Village of Handalagere in the Huniyal Taluk regarding certain Vrittis therein purchased by him in sale for arrears and to submit the papers of the case.

2- The Village of Handalagere is an Inam one assigned for Dharmarnaa service in the Temples of Gopalakrishnaswamy and V -swamy in the Village of Handalgere in the M Taluk and is divided into 7 7/8 Vrittis, two of which had been held by the Archikas of the temples- The Jodi amount for Shatru, Easwara and Gramadi having fallen into arrears, the Village was advertized for sale, but before the sale took place all the Vrittidars except the Archik, to a settlement about the arrears due on their ~~their~~ shares

released to them. The Archik, however failed to do so, or even to appear before the then deputy Commissioner (The present Dewan) and his portion was therefore sold on 18th october 1880 and purchased by the petitioner Marigowda for Rupees 44 which he duly paid up. Immediately after the sale was recommended to the Chief Commissioner for confirmation, x Letter No 343 dt 8th January, Rangachar the Archik appeared before the then officiating deputy Commissioner (Mr Vijeyandra Rao) and having offered to pay up the arrears amounting to Rupees 40 odd that officer recommended the sale to be set aside (Vide letter No 365 dt 19th January 1881) which recommendation was duly sanctioned in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 6200-1 dt 22nd Idem). The Archik however did not Rev 1091 pay the amount though he was given time till 10th March 1881, and the result was that the sale was ordered to be treated as alive. Vide deputy commissioner's Order No 504 dt 3rd March 1881.

3. In August 1882 the purchaser Marigowda applied for sale certificate, but Mr Soob Row declined to grant it observing that the sale had been cancelled and the matter order Nos 713. 1882

till october 1882 when the record

record was referred to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore as the Taluk was transferred to that district. This Officer enquired if the Archik and Vrithidars were willing to pay up the arrears and get back the lands, and on being informed in the negative, submitted the whole case for the Orders of the Dewan who in his Proceedings No 6999-7000/581 dy 6th February 1883 directed that if the land was a Devadayem Inam, it should be resumed fully assessed and left in the possession of the present occupant. Thereupon the Inam Superintendent was written to and on the receipt of his reply that the Inam was Devadaya, Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, the Muzrai officer ordered its resumption and the levy of full assessment from the purchaser.

Firkam No 1/1307 20th March 1883.

4. In August 1883, the Amildar took a deposition the petitioner agreeing to pay full assessment for the Vritti lands in question from Swabhanu (1883-84) and asked the Deputy Commissioner to have the Survey and Settlement introduced thereon. In January 1884, lands were surveyed and classified by the Survey department and the settlement was made in following year by Mr. Abdul Rahaman Sahib, when the registered all the lands in the name of petitioner. In the course of survey operations, the who have been enjoying the lands objected to their being registered in the name of the petitioner, but Shunmugavelu Pille declined to interfere with their

their rights (order. No 789 of 25th February 1885) Thus the petitioner is only the nominal Pattadar without lands which the holders claim to be registered in their name in persuance of the order of the Dewan already refer= red to.

5. As regards the complaint of the petitioner that he has been asked to pay full assessment from 1881 when the Vrittis were sold, I beg to invite reference to Mr. Abdul Rahiman sahib's order dated 21st March 1884, wherein he seems to have given retrospective effect to the Dewan's order of February 1883 alluded to above. Marked A 74

6. The petitioner who appeared before me prays that the Shikmi tenants who hold dry lands on fixed money assessment under agreement given by the former Inamdar should be made to pay him the assessment now imposed thereon by the Survey department and that for other dry and wet lands held on Balaji Varam or share in the produce be given him. This request seems to be reasonable. Considering that the petitioner has not only paid up the purchase money, and the Kandayem for a number of years to Govern= ment, but has had actually nothing but this dispute to keep up which he has had to neglect his own affairs It struck me that we might refund the whole money and pay some compensation to Marigowda collecting the same from the persons in actual possession of the land but this relief seems to me to be

very inadequate for all the trouble and loss to which the Petitioner has been put during last seven years. Under all the circumstances of the case the proper relief seems to be to comply with the reasonable wish of the Petitioner as stated above.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt. servant

(Signed) P. N. Krishnamurthi

Deputy commissioner.

True Copy

By order

Compared

Under Secretary

Date of Application 2-7-88
Copy ready to delivery 17-7-88
No of words —— 875
Copying fees including
comparing fees. 0-15-9

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No: 471 of the 20th January 1887, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Distt. reporting on the Petition of Bangalore Mari Gowda residing in the Jodi village of Hand Kunigal Taluk.

Order thereon. No: Of Bangalore July 1887.

The Inam lands in question which were purchased by Petitioner Mari Gowda at a Sale held on the 18th October 1880, constituted two Vrittis of the Jodi village of Handalgeré. This village is a personal and not a "D Inam, and it has been duly enfranchised. The vrittis in question appear to have assigned by the Jodidars for the of Dharmmasam Service at the N temple at Handalgere, but such an by an Inamdar has not the effect of a personal into a "Davadaya" Inam, such circumstances the rules relating to Devadaya Inams cannot apply to the V in question which must be treated as Personal Inam in the same way as whole village of which they form a They must accordingly be held to belong

× Vide Distrt Regr Kunigundy p. 20.

the purchaser at auction, and the Inam tenure in which ——— they were held was not liable to encumbrent, as in the case of the alienation of an Inam granted by the Government for Charitable purposes. The orders contained in Proceedings No. 6999-7000/381 dated 16th Febry 83 were passed on incorrect information as to the nature of the Inam in question and they are accordingly hereby withdrawn. The Vrittis in question must be treated as part of the Inam village of Kandalgeri and in accordance with the Inam Settlement, the full Quitrent on the village should be levied, the purchaser Mari Gowda being regarded as one of the Vrittidars. If, as Petitioner alleges, the full assessment of the lands has been collected from him from the year 1881-82, the difference between such collection and the Quit rent payable according to the Inam Settlement should be refunded to him.

185 R.V.

Chief Secretary.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner ———
Inspector &c
Inam Superintendent.



Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore (Revenue) Dated 7th July 1887.

Read the following paper:—

Letter No 471 of the 20th January 1887, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, reporting on the Petition of Bangalore Marigauda, residing in the village of Handalgere Kunigal Taluk.

No. 1888-9-41 dated Bangalore 17th July 1887.

Order thereon.— The Inam lands in question which were purchased by Petitioner Marigaud at a revenue sale held on the 18th October 1880, constituted two vrittis of the Jodi village of Handalgere. This village is personal and not a "Devadaya" inam, and it has duly enfranchised. The vrittis in question appear to have been assigned by the Joddars for the maintenance of Dhanurmasam service at the Narayanaswami temple at Handalgere, but such an assignment by an inamdar has not the effect of converting a personal a "Devadaya" Inam. Under such circumstances the rules relating to Devadaya inams cannot apply to vrittis in question which must be treated as a

as the whole village of which

which they form a part. They must accordingly be held to belong to the purchaser at auction, and the inam tenure on which they were held was not liable to cancellment, as in the case of the alienation of an inam granted by the Government for charitable purposes. The orders — Contained in Proceedings Nos 6999-7000 — 381, dated 16th February 1883 were passed on incorrect information as to the nature of the inam in question and they are accordingly hereby withdrawn. The vrittis in question must be treated as part of the inam village of Handalgere and in accordance with the inam settlement, the full quit-rent on the village should be levied, the purchaser Marigauda being regarded as one of the vrittidars. If as Petitioner alleges, the full assessment of the lands has been collected from him from the year 1881-82, the difference between such collection and the quit-rent payable according to the inam settlement should be refunded to him.

(Signed) R Vijayindra Rao
Chief Secretary

Compared
MPC

True copy
By Order

Under Secretary

Date of Application 2-7-88
copy ready — 17-7-88
No of words — 338.
Copying fees including
comparing fees. 0-6-6

Govt Records
Rep. - please
30
7L
29/12/92

7-12-92

Pro re 1888-9 7.7.87
41
appeal please
Withdrew of the case
finally.
Transferred to
Min of Immigration
Branch
30
7L
30/12/92

This matter has been finally disposed of in Govt Proceedings
No 1888-9 dated 9th July 1887.
The Govt see no reason to reconsider
orders already passed.
An endorsement will be issued
the Petitioners accordingly.
Not issued
No 527 D 25.3.93
28-3-93 A E

1888-9
41
8/17/87

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore

Read:—

Docket No. 854 of the 9th February 1888, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, regarding the Jodi payable on certain lands attached to the Narain-Swamy Temple in the Kunigal Taluk.—

Order thereon No. 6999-7000/387 dated 16th February 1888.—

As observed by the Deputy Commissioner in one of his Canarese orders to the Amildar, the Vrithees should have been resumed and not sold if the lands constituted a Dévadayem grant attached to the Narainswamy Temple.—

The Deputy Commissioner is requested to ascertain this point in communication with the Inam Superintendent and resume the land if he proved to be a Devadayem Inam and fully assess it leaving it at same time in possession of the pres occupant.—

The sale of the Vrithees has been cancelled in the Proceedings of this Office No. 6200-1/1091 of 22-9-1891, and no further orders on this point are necessary.—

(Sd.) P. Vijayendra Rao
Secretary

The Dy. Comr. Bangalore with papers.—
Inam Superintendent.—

5/5/85

No 266

88

No. 91

No 2117.

24/10/87 [illegible]

Received copying fees eight annas.
V. Veeraswamiah
26-10-87

[illegible]

26/10/87

[illegible]

3/10/87.

2/10/87

1-10-87

No 1553.

No564

13/6/87.

10/5/81

No 352

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಪಟ್ಟಿ

1/3/87

No 3786.

1/8/87

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] /1887 [illegible] 29 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3786 [illegible] /14 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2-3 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮುಂದಿನ ಬಾಡಿಗೆಯಿಂದ ಉಳಿದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮುಂಗಡವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವಾಗ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುವ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ತಾವೇ ತರಬೇಕು.

4 ಕಂಪನಿಯಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳು



15

ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ ಹದಿನೈದನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಸಾವಿರದ ಒಂಬೈನೂರ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೆರಡು

ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಗಳ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರ ವಿವರ

ಈ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆದ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶೃಂಗೇರಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ — ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರು ೪೨ — ತೇರ್ಗಡೆಯಾದವರು ೩೭ — ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರು ಕು. ಸರಸ್ವತಿ ಹೆಗಡೆ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಗಣಪತಿ ಭಟ್ಟ ಹಿರೇಮನೆ.

ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ — ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರು ೨೮ — ತೇರ್ಗಡೆಯಾದವರು ೨೫ — ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ರಂಗನಾಥ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕು. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ತಿ.

ಕಾಳಹಸ್ತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ — ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರು ೩೫ — ತೇರ್ಗಡೆಯಾದವರು ೨೯ — ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ.

ಕಾಂಚೀಪುರ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ — ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರು ೫೧ — ತೇರ್ಗಡೆಯಾದವರು ೪೪ — ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಮಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ದೀಕ್ಷಿತ.

ಮಧುರೈ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ — ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರು ೩೩ — ತೇರ್ಗಡೆಯಾದವರು ೨೭ — ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಮೀನಾಕ್ಷಿಸುಂದರಂ ಪಿಳ್ಳೈ ಮತ್ತು ಕು. ಅಂಡಾಳ್ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ತಿ.

ಕಂಚಿ ಕಾಮಕೋಟಿ ಪೀಠ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ — ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರು ೬೦ — ತೇರ್ಗಡೆಯಾದವರು ೫೨ — ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಶಂಕರನಾರಾಯಣ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ.

ಕಾಂಚೀಪುರ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಯ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ ಅತ್ಯುತ್ತಮವಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಥಮ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಮಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಕಳೆದ ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಾಪಕರಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಶಿಷ್ಯರು ಅನೇಕರು ಇಂದು ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ ವಿದ್ವಾಂಸರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಬಹಳ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಕರು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು, ೧೫ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ೧೯೨೨. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯ.

ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೧-೬-೧೮೮೨ ಕಿನ್ನಾಳಾಚಾರಿ ದಿವಂಗತ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಾಚಾರಿಯವರ ಮಗ ಹನುಮಂತಾಚಾರಿ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರ ——— ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೭-೭-೮೨

ಪ್ರಿಯ ಹನುಮಂತಾಚಾರಿ, ನಿನ್ನ ತಂದೆಯವರ ಮರಣವಾರ್ತೆ ಕೇಳಿ ಬಹಳ ದುಃಖವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಲ್ಯಸ್ನೇಹಿತರಾಗಿದ್ದರು; ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಓದಿದ್ದೆವು, ಆಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಕಡೆಯ ಬಾರಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೧/೪ ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದವು. ಅವರ ಅಗಲಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ನಿನಗೂ ನಿನ್ನ ತಾಯಿಗೂ ಅಪಾರ ದುಃಖವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಧೈರ್ಯವಾಗಿರಿ.

ನಿನ್ನ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ ವೆಂಕಟಾಚಾರಿ, ಕಿನ್ನಾಳ. ——

ಇವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ ಕವಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದರು

ಇವರು ೧೮೮೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಿಸಿದರು. ಇವರ

ತಂದೆಯವರು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಇವರು

ಚಿಕ್ಕವರಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗಲೇ ತಾಯಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡರು. ಇವರ

ಅಜ್ಜಿಯವರು ಇವರನ್ನು ಬಹಳ ಪ್ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಸಾಕಿದರು.

ಇವರು ೧೮೯೭ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಮಹಾರಾಜ

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿ.ಎ. ಪದವಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದರು. ಇವರು

ಬಿ.ಎ. ಪದವಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದ ನಂತರ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ

ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪಂಡಿತರಾಗಿ

ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದರು. ಇವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಅನೇಕ ಕವನಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವರ ಕವನಗಳು

ಬಹಳ ಸರಳವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅರ್ಥಗರ್ಭಿತವಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಇವರು ೧೯೪೭ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಧನರಾದರು.

[illegible]

1 [illegible]

[illegible] 1881 [illegible]

[illegible] 1879-10-22 [illegible]

[illegible] 292 [illegible]

[illegible] 1880 [illegible] 17 [illegible]

[illegible] 457 [illegible] 8/1880 [illegible] 200 [illegible] 468 [illegible]

[illegible] 1880 [illegible] 180 [illegible] 484 [illegible]

---

2 [illegible]

1881-1-8 [illegible]

[illegible] 81-1-19 [illegible]

[illegible]

81-1-22 [illegible] $\frac{6200-1}{1091}$ [illegible]

1. [illegible] 1881 [illegible]

(6) [illegible]

2. [illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

6 [illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible]

1091

6200-1

1 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

81-1-19 365

1 [illegible]

1881-1-8 343

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 100 [illegible]

[illegible] 81-2-13 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

81-2-15 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 81-3-10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

---

3 [illegible] 81-3-10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 81-3-3 [illegible] 504 [illegible]

[illegible] 1000 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 11 [illegible]

3 ఆ తర్వాత ఆ ప్రకటనలో ఏమి చెప్పబడింది? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది?

2 ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరు చేశారు? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది?

1 ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరు చేశారు? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది?

---

1 ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరు చేశారు? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది?

2 ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరు చేశారు? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది?

3 ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరు చేశారు? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది? ఆ ప్రకటన ఎవరి గురించి చెప్పబడింది?

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 81-3-11 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 81-3-15 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (1½) [illegible]
42-4-30 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 504 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 81-3-10 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಯಿತು — ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಅವರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಾವಧಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. — ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸದಸ್ಯರೂ ಒಕ್ಕೊರಲಿನಿಂದ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದರು. — ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, "ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಹೃದಯಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಏಳಿಗೆಗಾಗಿ ನನ್ನಿಂದಾಗುವ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಸದಾ ಸಿದ್ಧನಿದ್ದೇನೆ" ಎಂದರು. ಸಭೆಯು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧೨.೩೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಯಿತು. — ಸಹಿ/- ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ. ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೭-೧೦-೮೨

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಯಿತು — ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಅವರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಾವಧಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. — ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸದಸ್ಯರೂ ಒಕ್ಕೊರಲಿನಿಂದ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದರು. — ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, "ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಹೃದಯಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಏಳಿಗೆಗಾಗಿ ನನ್ನಿಂದಾಗುವ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಸದಾ ಸಿದ್ಧನಿದ್ದೇನೆ" ಎಂದರು. ಸಭೆಯು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧೨.೩೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಯಿತು. — ಸಹಿ/- ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ. ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೭-೧೦-೮೨

ಪುಟ ೩೮, ಸಾಲು ೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಂದ ಸಹಿ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರಿ ಎಂದು ೨-೧-೮೩ ರಂದು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಅಂಕಣ ——————

1 ಹಣ ಹಣಕಾಸು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳಿಂದ ಸಮಾಜಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗವಾಗುವ ಕೆಲಸ

2 ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವೆಯ ಅಗತ್ಯತೆ

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ೨ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ (ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು) ——————

1 ಸೆಲ್ಫ್ ಹೆಲ್ಪ್ ಗ್ರೂಪ್ ಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದು

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩ ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ (ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು) ——————

ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯ ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಬ್ಯಾಂಕುಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ.

ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯ ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಬ್ಯಾಂಕುಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ೪ ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ (ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು) ——————

1 ಬ್ಯಾಂಕುಗಳು ಒಳಚರಂಡಿ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಾಲ ನೀಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫ ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ (ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು) ——————

ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯ ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಬ್ಯಾಂಕುಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ೬ ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ (ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು) ——————

1 ಬ್ಯಾಂಕುಗಳು ಒಳಚರಂಡಿ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಾಲ ನೀಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭ ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ (ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು) ——————

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

సం॥ [illegible] 83-2-9 ల్లో [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 83-1-2 ల్లో

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 20-3-83 ల్లో [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 829 [illegible]

7 సం॥ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 84-1-12 ల్లో [illegible]

[illegible]

1

2

3

1886 లో ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరు ఎవరికి ఎలా ఇచ్చారు అనే విషయం మీద ఇప్పటికీ

No. 527

మేల్కు మూరు మన్నినవరు మూరుది

కన్నేరి వెలు మరాయు ఇలాం మేయిందా

మంత్రకాల్లూరి శిష్యూరు హోంబళ్ళహోన్నల

గ్రామద నారాయణ స్వామి, మూపిల్లకృష్ణ స్వామిమీద

వన్నినళ్ళ అళ్ళం వేరికలూంకొరో- యువనరాయుశం

నమ్మనిళ్ళ యువరు నళ్ళళ్ళు యుంటాన్రీళ్ళం.

నీలవుమూరిసేజం ఖర్దతిళ్ళింద 1892

యునవి నవంబర్ 9నీమరూంనఅళ్ళవరాంతిసి

లాంయిరు.

నిమ్మల్ల చీర్యలున్ననమూదలమంతల

యిలాం అంశగళు 1887నేల్లుమీవి మూల్లి 17నీమ

నల్లిబ్బొడ్డిరువ

రూం 1888-9 నీనంబర్ హ్రోం వితాంస్రీనిందహీరాం

వ్యవహ్నిలాగిదే-

హుందలసేరియుంబ హోంలతిస్రామదేమా

డాయిర్నే శేలరిద్దల్లా. అదరల్లనవ్యక్తియున్నమాత్ర

ఇళ్యాతిదారర్ర దేవరిసోంక్షిరపోళ్ళిద్దరు. ఇదరివ్య

తివాలలి ఖాంతి- యూదఇళ్యాతిమక్కళ్ళాటకింటున్ను

అళ్ళళ్ళిరు కోంతదేహోంలద్దరిందనదరివ్యక్తిగళుద

రాంబాంగి, భూమి, డాలహుంబినల్లనవడదళ్ళింతవ

నుమ్మదిన్నల్లిద్దే. అంబ్రాంద్దన్న వ్రనదానామక్షిద్దిన

నీళ్ళి కొలాతువదక్కే నవ్వుయలవిల్లా. ఉలాంక్షీనినతకిళో

రూం [redacted]యిరువ

లనళ్ళన్నేల్లా. ఇకన్నాగివిరవ్వలలాళిసిమీలల్లింత

1887నేల్లుమీవి మూల్లి 17నీమరూం కొరన్వలాంట

ఆ దర్జీలకు రద్దు మంత్రులు [illegible] కాకినాడ కళాశాల కు
విద్యార్థులను ఎన్నుకొని పంపించి వారిచేత పరీక్ష చేయించి
[illegible] వారి అభిప్రాయములు వ్రాసి పంపవలసినదిగా
మనవి

ఇట్లు మీ విధేయుడు

ఇంటర్వ్యూలకు వచ్చిన విద్యార్థులకు ఇవ్వవలసిన
ప్రయాణపు ఖర్చులు వివరములు ఇందుతో పంపుచున్నాము
[illegible]
మనవి - విన్నవించుట

ఇట్లు తేదీ 23 నవంబర్ 1893 సం
[illegible] 74

By order

Draft

Secretary

20-4-98
1685